CU Response - January 16, 2020 CU will agree to a 60 day review period.

	А	В	C
34			
35		Key Issue	Implement the South Boulder Creek Phase I Flood Mitigation Study subject to final design
36			The Guiding Principles state that the site will provide adequate areas for construction, maintenance and operation of city flood control dams, appurtenances and associated flood storage, including freeboard to reduce flood risks.
		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	Subject to Regent approval, and in consideration of CIV Boulder South, the University will convey fee simple title in up to 80 acres of the Property (the "Flood Property") to the City by special warranty deed (the "Deed"). The City agrees to use the land conveyed by the University only for development, construction, operation, maintenance, and redevelopment of the Project; this use limitation shall be memorialized in a restrictive covenant in the Deed that will grant the University a reversionary right to the fee simple title in the Flood Property in the event an uncurred breach of such restrictive covenant in the Died that will grant the University in the recreational fields are not able to be accommodated within the flood mitigation project footprint, the University will retain the right to an easement to allow sufficient access and use of recreational fields in an area that is mutually agreed upon.
			If successful design and development of the Project requires the use of land in excess of 80 acres, then subject to University's reasonable approval and agreement, City may purchase additional land contiguous to the Flood Property at fair market value as determined by a third-party appraisal. This area shall be subject to the same reversionary right detailed in the preceding paragraph.
			If City or a City-related entity wishes to acquire additional portions (for example in OS-O area) of the Property for open space or other uses, then subject to University's approval (determined in its sole discretion) and agreement, City may purchase such land at fair market value as determined by a third-party appraisal.
37			Any conveyance of University-owned land contemplated herein shall be subject to, and limited by, approval by its Board of Regents.
	No	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement	* Further analysis is needed to determine the extent of land in excess of 80 acres that would be needed for the flood mitigation project and the value of that land before providing comments regarding potential purchase of the land. It is currently estimated the Variant 1, 500-year flood mitigation concept (approved by Council on Feb. 5, 2019) would need approximately 90 acres of CU South property for flood mitigation structures and the detention area. However, the amount of acreage needed will be further refined through the preliminary design process.
			• It is our understanding that CU does not want to be responsible for owning and operating the dam and therefore the city would need to own the land in fee title. City staff is not in agreement with CU Boulder's proposed restrictive covenant granting the University reversionary right to the fee simple title. The State Engineer's Office will require the city show it has permanent responsibility for and access to the flood mitigation structures and detention area and that those rights cannot be revoked at a later date.
			• On Feb. 5 City Council directed that the next steps of design should focus on the engineering needs of the project and on accommodating future sports fields in the detention area. See later comments regarding location options to address CU's interests in future recreational fields.
			See later comments on regarding city interests in acquisition of additional portions of the property for Open Space.
			• The property is located in the floodplain of South Boulder Creek. Any development within the floodplain is subject to the city's floodplain regulations and will require floodplain development permit(s).
38			• See our initial submittal regarding up to 80 acres max with the option for the City to purchase additional acreage specifically for the flood mitigation project. The reversionary right will not be effective unless the city does not use the 80 acres for flood mitigation.
			• CU shall meet FEMA Floodplain regulations
39		CU Response - January 16, 2020	
40			
41		Key Issue	Obtain necessary easements. The Guiding Principles state that specific real property ownership, easements, and/or agreements will be established during annexation for the area necessary for floodwater improvements and other uses (plus or minus some land area).
42		City Policies or Council Direction	The Sulaing Finishes state that specific real property ownership, easements, and/or agreements will be established during annexation for the area necessary for noodwater improvements and other uses (plus or minus some land area).
43		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	The University will provide the necessary rights for the City to achieve its Flood Mitigation Project upon finalization of the Flood Mitigation Project. Real property ownership is addressed above.
44			The City would need conveyance of the flood mitigation project area prior to proceeding with Final Design of the project.
45		CU Response - January 16, 2020	We agree so long as we have a completed annexation agreement without contingencies and CU has agreed to the schematic project design which aligns substantially to the final project design.
46			
47		Key Issue	Avoid excavation within the OS-O area, maintain PUB acreage, and avoid impacts to existing tennis courts.
48		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	On Sept. 20 Council indicated a preference to avoid the area of proposed of excavation shown on OS-O in Variant 1, 500-year, Option A. The University must retain in perpetuity its development rights to a minimum of 129 acres. The current land use designation of the University's 129 acres of developable area of the Property is "Public" under the BVCP (the "CU Development Tract"). Any diminishment of the area of the CU Development Tract shall (a) be subject to University's written approval and, at University's option, the diminished area shall be proportionally replaced with land currently designated OS-O under the BVCP (such replacement land shall be subject to University's approval, shall be contiguous with and become a part of the remaining CU Development Tract area, and shall be zoned Public (PUB) by the City). Alternatively, at University's option and approval, City shall compensate University in cash for the fair market value of the applicable area or with land agreeable to the University in another location.
			If successful design and development of the Project (a) requires relocation of CU Boulder's tennis facility, (b) materially and adversely affects CU Boulder's use and enjoyment of the tennis courts, or (c) impairs CU Boulder's ability to maintain the courts to NCAA Division I standards, then CU Boulder will have the option to reconstruct the tennis facility on land currently designated OS-O and contiguous to the remaining CU Development Tract area in a location determined by CU Boulder at its sole discretion. Such replacement land shall be subject to University's approval, shall be contiguous with and become a part of the remaining CU Development Tract area, and shall be zoned Public (PUB) by the City. City shall bear all actual costs of CU Boulder's design, development and construction of a comparable replacement tennis facility.
49			The City may demolish, at its sole cost and expense, the building currently located in the area of the Property designated as PK-UO under the BVCP. The City agrees to pay CU Boulder the replacement value of the building.
		Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement	• The Variant 1, 500-year concept approved by City Council on Feb. 5, 2019 is estimated to use approximately 36 acres of PUB land for the structures and detention area. The number of acres for flood mitigation structures and detention area in PUB, will be refined through additional modeling by the City's flood mitigation consultants during preliminary design. More information will be provided to CU once that analysis is complete.
			* The existing tennis courts are located in the area of future detention for the Variant 1, 500-year concept. The City typically prohibits buildings for human occupancy, such as offices, restrooms, and concessions in flood detention areas. Further analysis of the anticipated depth and frequency of inundation where the current tennis courts are located is needed to determine whether the city would allow the existing tennis courts and associated parking to remain in the detention area. If it is required that the tennis courts be moved out of the detention area for the purposes of public safety or functionality of the flood mitigation project, the city will procure an appraisal of the value of the existing tennis facility.
			• If required for the purposes of public safety or functionality of the flood mitigation project, the city will procure an appraisal of the value of the existing storage building. The city and CU will need to develop an agreement regarding the who pays the cost of removal and any required remediation associated with building demolition.
50			• The city seeks further consultation and input on decisions regarding any material changes to OS-O. Additionally, on September 20, 2018, Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11, 2018 OSBT recommendations that the University protect and/or convey the OS-O designated land to the City.
51 52		CU Response - January 16, 2020	It will be the sole determination of the university if the tennis courts and storage facility need to be moved if they fall anywhere within the flood project's detention area. Should the university determine that the tennis courts and storage facility need to be relocated, the city will agree to demolish those facilities at the city's sole cost and then pay to the university the replacement cost of the same.
53		Key Issue	Groundwater monitoring
54 55		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	existing flow patterns. Agreed
აა		Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019)	Agreed The city will continue to access the groundwater monitoring wells installed on CU South per the terms in the Amendment to Amended and Restated Permission to Enter Property Agreement dated December 11, 2017 and last amended March 26, 2018. Additional subsurface investigations on CU South will be
56		CU Statement	needed during preliminary design of the flood mitigation project. City staff will work with CU staff to update the property agreement for this purpose.

	A	В	С
57		CU Response - January 16, 2020	CU and city have executed an agreement effective December 31, 2019 that grants the city access for additional ground water studies.
58			
59		Key Issue	Aesthetic design of flood mitigation infrastructure
60		City Policies or Council Direction	The project team includes a landscape architecture firm that will help coordinate project landscaping and aesthetics that will be vetted with CU and made available to the public, boards and council.
61		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	The City will include CU Boulder in the landscape and aesthetic planning of the Project. The Project design team shall collaborate with the University with respect to Recreational Field placement as well as the potential placement of bleachers on the slopes of the retention structure walls. University shall bear the sole cost and expense of design, development and construction of the Recreational Fields and the bleachers, as applicable.
	Analysis Needed	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement	* The City typically provides high aesthetic quality of flood mitigation structures in urban areas. The flood mitigation project team includes a landscape architecture firm that will help coordinate project landscaping and aesthetics. The project team will consult with CU staff when conducting aesthetic design of project elements.
			• City Council on Feb. 5 directed staff to focus on the engineering needs of the flood mitigation project and not focus on accommodating sports fields in the detention area. If following additional modeling and design of the project it is determined that it is feasible to include sports field turf in the detention area without impacting the functionality or cost of the flood mitigation project, then the city would work with CU Boulder staff to determine if there were opportunities for incorporating recreational field placement and bleachers into the design.
			Parks and Recreation staff would like to be involved in any design coordination of athletic facilities/fields.
62			OSMP staff and Open Space Board of Trustees request consultation and input on infrastructure design including decisions regarding any material changes to OS-O if not conveyed to the City.
			City shall collaborate with the university in the consideration of placing Recreational Fileds including bleachers and all other sports/turf fields in the detention area.
63		CU Response - January 16, 2020	City staff will be permitted to review future CU plans during their allotted 60 day review period as previously stated. If the OS-O is not conveyed to the city, the university retains ownership and does not agree to consult with OS Board of Trustees on infrastructure design including decisions regarding any material changes to OS-O.
64		,	
65		Key Issue	Determine suitable recreational uses for the area within the flood mitigation detention area.
66		City Policies or Council Direction	Explore opportunities for passive and active recreation activities, or other uses compatible with the floodwater mitigation system and where possible, conserve and/or restore areas within the flood mitigation facilities with high ecological value and mitigate impacts.
67		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	Upon completion of the construction of the flood mitigation dam and related retention areas, CU Boulder must have no less than 30 appropriately graded acres available for construction of recreational/athletics fields (the "Rec Fields") in the area of the Property designated as PK-UO under the BVCP. The Rec Fields must be situated on the Property in a manner that provides reasonable ingress and egress (including ADA accessibility) for site visitors, teams, service vehicles, as well as proximate space for related facilities such as concessions, restrooms, and storage. If the Rec Fields cannot be located in the flood detention area, the University may construct the Rec Fields on 30 appropriately graded acres within the OS-O-designated land, contiguous to the CU Development Tract.
<u> </u>	Analysis Needed	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	Identify options for addressing CU Boulder's stated interest in 30+ acres of land for university sports fields and potential re-location of tennis courts, if required due to the flood mitigation project.
		CU Statement	Early analysis indicates that functional ball fields will not be feasible within the detention area of the Variant 1, 500-year design in the PK-U/O land use area because the depth of the additional excavation would limit the ability to properly drain the fields.
			City staff proposes that we jointly explore the following options to address this issue. Please indicate if any of these options are unacceptable to the university. Options acceptable to both city and university staff will be analyzed further and presented to city boards and City Council for direction in a preferred approach and community engagement.
			• Option 1: Determine suitability of the existing tennis courts remaining in the detention area and of using a portion of the flood mitigation detention area in the PK-U/O and PUB land use areas for recreational field turf. Further analysis of the anticipated depth and frequency of inundation where the current tennis courts are located is needed to determine whether the city would allow the existing tennis courts and associated parking to remain in the detention area. If following additional design of the project it is determined that it is feasible to include sports field turf in a portion of the detention area without impacting the functionality or cost of the flood mitigation project, then the city would work with CU Boulder staff to evaluate opportunities for recreational field turf placement. The City typically prohibits buildings for human occupancy, such as offices, restrooms, and concessions in flood detention areas. Parking would likely also be restricted in the detention area, but further analysis of the anticipated depth and frequency of inundation would be needed to make this determination. CU Boulder would be responsible for all costs of construction and recreational field cleanup following a storm event.
			Option 2: Determine suitability and acceptability of using a portion of land designated as OS-O in the BVCP for recreation and other uses consistent with the BVCP CU South Guiding Principles. On September 20, 2018, Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11, 2018 OSBT recommendations that the University protect and/or convey the OS-O designated land to the City. However, if not conveyed to the City, if any portion of OS-O is found suitable and allowed for this recreational purpose, OSMP staff and Open Space Board of Trustees request consultation and input on decisions regarding any material changes to OS-O, which could include requirements that the area be permanently restricted to such recreational uses (i.e. no further development permitted such as housing).
			• Option 3: Explore other potential off-site locations within Boulder in coordination with City Parks and Recreation. Please summarize the university's criteria for selecting off-site locations. Council members have expressed interest in exploring the Planning Reserve in north Boulder as a potential off-site location. Indicate if the Planning Reserve may or may not meet the university's selection criteria.

	Α	В	C
			Key Issue #2 - From letter dated 3/28/19 Identify options for addressing CU's stated interest in 30+ acres of land for university sports fields and potential re-location of tennis courts, if required due to the flood mitigation project. Early analysis indicates that functional ball fields will not be feasible within the detention area of the Variant 1, 500-year design in the PK-U/O land use area because the depth of the additional excavation would limit the ability to properly drain the fields. CU Boulder's annexation application states that:
			Per the May 20, 2019 letter to City Council, CU Response: Upon completion of the construction of the flood mitigation dam and related retention areas, CU Boulder must have no less than 30 appropriately graded acres available for construction of recreational/athletics fields (the "Rec Fields") in the area of the Property designated as PK-U/O under the BVCP. The Rec Fields must be situated on the Property in a manner that provides reasonable ingress and egress (including ADA accessibility) for site visitors, teams, service vehicles, as well as proximate space for related facilities such as concessions, restrooms, and storage. If the Rec Fields cannot be located in the flood detention area, the University may construct the Rec Fields on 30 appropriately graded acres within the OS-O-designated land, contiguous to the CU Development Tract.
			Option 1: Determine suitability of the existing tennis courts remaining in the detention area and of using a portion of the flood mitigation detention area in the PK-U/O and PUB land use areas for recreational field turf. Further analysis of the anticipated depth and frequency of inundation where the current tennis courts are located is needed to determine whether the city would allow the existing tennis courts and associated parking to remain in the detention area. If following additional design of the project it is determined that it is feasible to include sports field turf in a portion of the detention area without impacting the functionality or cost of the flood mitigation project, then the city would work with CU Boulder staff to evaluate opportunities for recreational field turf placement. The City typically prohibits buildings for human occupancy, such as offices, restrooms, and concessions in flood detention areas. Parking would likely also be restricted in the detention area, but further analysis of the anticipated depth and frequency of inundation would be needed to make this determination. CU Boulder would be responsible for all costs of construction and recreational field cleanup following a storm event.
			CU Response: It will be the sole determination of the university if the tennis courts and storage facility need to be relocated, the city will agree to demolish those facilities at the city's sole cost and then pay to the university the replacement cost of the same.
			• Option 2: Determine suitability and acceptability of using a portion of land designated as OS-O in the BVCP for recreation and other uses consistent with the BVCP CU South Guiding Principles. On September 20, 2018, Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11, 2018 OSBT recommendations that the University protect and/or convey the OS-O designated land to the City. However, if not conveyed to the City, if any portion of OS-O is found suitable and allowed for this recreational purpose, OSMP staff and Open Space Board of Trustees request consultation and input on decisions regarding any material changes to OS-O, which could include requirements that the area be permanently restricted to such recreational uses (i.e. no further development permitted such as housing).
			CU Response: Again, the University would agree to construct the Rec Fields on 30 appropriately graded acres within the OS-O-designated land, contiguous to the CU Development Tract.
			• Option 3: Explore other potential off-site locations within Boulder in coordination with City Parks and Recreation. Please summarize the university's criteria for selecting off-site locations. Council members have expressed interest in exploring the Planning Reserve in north Boulder as a potential off-site location. Indicate if the Planning Reserve may or may not meet the university's selection criteria.
			CU Response: As stated above, the Planning Reserve is unacceptable to the university. CU Boulder will consider off site locations for recreational fields that are reasonably proximate and comparable in our sole judgement.
69		CU Response - January 16, 2020	
70			
71			Flood detention drainage design for recreational fields
72			The city will ensure that the detention area will be designed to meet State water rights drain time requirements. The system will also be designed to drain following a storm event without causing negative downstream floodplain impacts.
73			The City will ensure drainage of the detention area is designed appropriately and will conduct routine maintenance and inspections (at the City's expense) to ensure no improper or excess flow discharge occurs during flooding events. The City will ensure that the flood detention area used for recreational/athletics field development will be engineered to sufficiently drain within a reasonable period of time to ensure that the Rec Fields can remain functional after a flood. The City will ensure that Rec Fields do not have ongoing water ponding issues not related to a flood event.
74			Hydraulic modeling will be included in preliminary design to ensure draining of the detention area following a storm event will not result in negative impacts to existing floodplains. As described previously, additional analysis is needed to evaluate the ability to include functional recreational fields in the detention area.
75		CU Response - January 16, 2020	The City shall confirm and maintan that sufficient and timely draining of the recreation/athletic fields is engineered and that all fields will remain fully functional after a flood. City engineering will ensure Rec Fields do not have ongoing water ponding issues not related to a flooding event.
76			
77 78			Site Access (S. Loop Rd, Tantra) Yes
78		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	As part of standard practice, the City mitigates for any damage or modifications to existing structures, including access roads, that results from a flood mitigation project. The City will bear any and all costs of modification, realignment and/or reconstruction of existing access roads on the Property, if CU Boulder determines in its reasonable discretion that the design of the Project necessitates such changes.
		, , ,	The City will bear any and all costs or modification, realignment and/or reconstruction of existing access roads on the Property, if CO Boulder determines in its reasonable discretion that the design of the Project necessitates such changes. The City shall also be responsible for any repair costs related to damages attributable to flood mitigation causes or caused by the City to any future CU Boulder road or improvements.
79			The Only shall also be responsible for any repair costs related to damages attributable to look imigration causes or caused by the Only to any rutine Go bounder road or improvements.
	Yes	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement	The city is committed to an equitable apportionment of costs associated with the flood mitigation project. This will need to be done through further negotiation and agreement.
80			Flooding events are acts of nature. The city will not agree to blanket indemnifications on the property. The city will work with the CU Boulder to design infrastructure that will be resilient during and after flooding events.
81 82		CU Response - January 16, 2020	Future agreements shall incorporate language on the City bearing any and all costs for the modification, realignment, and/or reconstruction of existing access roads on the Property, if CU Boulder believes the design of the project necessitates such change.
83		Key Issue	Future claims and damages
84			As part of standard practices, and as required by the State Engineer's Office, the City takes responsibility for the performance and safety of its dams and flood mitigation structures.
85		, , , ,	The City will bear the costs and responsibilities of any claims or damages resulting from the failure of the design, construction, performance and/or safety of the Project and related structures and appurtenances. City shall be responsible for its negligent acts and omissions.
86	Yes	CU Statement	The city will be the permit holder for the project and responsible for compliance with applicable state and federal regulations. Nothing in the annexation agreement will be construed to constitute any waivers in the Colorado Governmental Immunity Act.
87 88		CU Response - January 16, 2020	Comment noted.
89 90		City Policies or Council Direction	Wetland and habitat mitigation for project direct impacts The project team will secure all necessary environmental permits and mitigate for the project's direct environmental impacts. At the concept design stage, the project team had anticipated restoring habitat on OS-O to fulfill any wetland and habitat mitigation requirements. The Guiding Principles state that in the area protected by the existing CU levee floodplain functions, including wetlands and flood mitigation, may be restored as part of compensatory mitigation for impacts elsewhere on site.
91		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	If any jurisdictional wetlands are damaged or displaced as a result of the flood mitigation needs of the City, it is the responsibility of the City to secure any applicable wetland permits and mitigate the loss of the wetlands through Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.
- 51	Yes	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement	• The City is responsible for obtaining all necessary environmental permits and mitigate for loss of wetlands through Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.
			• On September 20, 2018, Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11, 2018 OSBT recommendations that the University protect or convey the OS-O land to the City as part of annexation, with the City having responsibility for subsequent management and any restoration, including utilizing this area for wetland and habitat mitigation purposes needed for the flood mitigation project.
92			• In addition to Section 404 permitting, the city expects that CU Boulder will also follow the requirements of the city's wetlands requirements.
93		CU Response - January 16, 2020	After key issues around the annexaton have been resolved, further discussion of the details intended here is warranted.
94			
95	Alignment	Levee Removal	

Services of the product of the produ		Α	В	C
Of Sheller Count Feedback (as count feedback count	96		Key Issue	Remove the existing levee system.
Of Section 1962, 2019. Of Comment (1962) and the comment of the C				Remove the existing levee system and restore underlying land to improve riparian connectivity between CU South OS-O area and South Boulder Creek. The existing CU levee does not affect the overall hydrology for the flood mitigation project but armoring of specific land areas inside of the existing CU
September 1962 of the control of the	97			
Services Supervisors (P. 1. 20) The desired supervisor (P. 1. 20) The desired superv			CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	
Control Montal Control				
Butterland Model And Disposed to 19-20 the company of the control of the company of the control	98			the perminence material if the perminence is removed; and 3) the City will be responsible for securing all receral, state, and other governmental approvals to remove the perminence.
Col Service Control Co	90	Clarification Needed	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	• The city will be responsible for securing all federal state, and other governmental approvals as needed for the flood mitigation project
Fig. 20 Company of the property in the pro				The sty man to recover a control of the state of the stat
- Company of the comp				• The City will not intentionally create additional wetlands or expand the floodplain on the "Development Tract," (PUB land use area outside of the flood mitigation detention area) but cannot guarantee the site's wetland and floodplain mapping or designation performed in the future by federal, state or local
In the process of the				regulatory agencies.
In the process of the				
- The Contraction of Activity Control of				
Accordance (accordance) in a Market registered of the control of t				both parties.
Exercise Control of the control of t	99			The city cannot be responsible for future changes to the flood plain that are regulated by FEMA.
Security control (Fig. 2) 2010. 100 100 100 100 100 100 100				
Security control (Fig. 2) 2010. 100 100 100 100 100 100 100				
Company Comp			CU Response - January 16, 2020	Ine City shall be fully responsible for any future increase to the filoodplain as a result of the City's Project.
Section Process Council Designation Process Council Designat			Key Issue	Determine use of levee fill material
1905 1906 1907 1908 1908 1908 1908 1908 1908 1908 1908				
Discovery of the polytown of the Taily town of t	104		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	If the levee/berm is removed, CU Boulder, as the owner of the fill, retains the right to the material, and will either use or sell the material.
Co. Co. Designates - January 18, 202 P. Transmissional control of processing and processin				See city comments regarding acquisition of OS-O land. The City only intends to remove the levee if the land including the levee is under City ownership. The City asserts that the existing CU levee is an appurtenance to the land that would be included in the acquisition of OS-O land and the city would retain
Collegeone - Journal 18, 2000 Nov States Open Committee of State (1998) Description of State (1998)	105		CU Statement	the right to use or sell the material, nowever acquisition of that land could include discussions/negotiations regarding timing for removal, staging, transport and/or potential uses of the fill by both parties.
May Name Capacit Directors Control Direc	100			
May Name Capacit Directors Control Direc	106		CU Response - January 16, 2020	Future negotiations will include discussions of the possibility of CU selling the OS-O land upon which the berm/levee resides. CU retains ownership rights to this berm/levee material even though it resides ontop of potenital City land. City shall bear all costs associated with removing said berm/levee.
Ch Poleses of Coural Design. Ch Pol	107			
Comparison Com				
11 State of Section Energy 15, 2019. 11 Out Personner Lineary 15, 2019. 12 Out Personner Lineary 15, 2019. 13 Out Personner Lineary 15, 2019. 14 Out Personner Lineary 15, 2019. 15 Out Personner Lineary 15, 2019. 15 Out Personner Lineary 15, 2019. 16 Out Personner Lineary 15, 2019. 16 Out Personner Lineary 15, 2019. 17 Out Personner Lineary 15, 2019. 18 Out Personner Lineary 15, 2019. 18 Out Personner Lineary 15, 2019. 18 Out Personner Lineary 15, 2019. 19 Out Personner Lineary 15, 2019. 20 Out Personn				
Comment Comm				
Fig. 2015 In Process or Council Devices or Council				
City Politics or Counted Drection (City Politics or Counted Drect				
15 CU Statement (Feb. 2.019) The University intention convey are used to flood militigation purposes to the Cuty. The City must ensure, at its sole expense, that construction and/or operation of the flood militigation dam and related structures and removal of the bermitives shall not increase the FEMA 150-year or 500-year file. Analysis Needed Analysis Needed Analysis Needed Of Statement Of Statemen	114			
Legislation of the Cult Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) All proper because of any of the Propert, now or good are agreed to the Coloration of th	445		City Policies or Council Direction	
ans an any of the Proposity, now or in the future. Analysis Needed Salf Proposition 16-10, 2, 2010 The Coll Statement 16-10 of the Sta	115		CLI Statement (Feb. 2, 2010)	
Analysis Needed Analysis Needed Analysis (Salf Response to Feb. 2, 2019 The oily will design the found misgation project in accordance with state and federal regulations including FEMAs applicable requirements for avoiding adverse impacts to the floodysism. At the conduction of the project the city will design the found misgation project in accordance with state and federal regulations including FEMAs applicable requirements for avoiding adverse impacts to the floodysism degrated to the city purview qwin the decision-making authority of FEMAs. Future flood in source from the found in			CO Statement (1 eb. 2, 2019)	
CU Statement see reasons, feet you will not be the desident and the State of Colorack). It is possible that in the future contrains could change, models could improve, or through dimate change design storms and hydrology could change that would impact the future designation of floodplains segment of the coloracy of t	116			in the future.
these reasons, the city would not be able to ensure that the floodplain designations on CUI South would not change in the future. CUI Response - January 16, 2002 Proper design and engineering by the City shall be conducted to ansure that fluture approved FEMA floodplain magning does not increase the existing floodplain. CUI shall be consulted throughout the design and engineering process. Future claims or changed by the City South Cap Proper design and engineering by the City shall be conducted to ansure that fluture approved FEMA floodplain magning does not increase the existing floodplain. CUI shall be consulted throughout the design and engineering process. Future claims or changed by the Column Direction				The city will design the flood mitigation project in accordance with state and federal regulations including FEMA's applicable requirements for avoiding adverse impacts to the floodplain. At the conclusion of the project the city will submit a Letter of Map Revision for acceptance by FEMA. Future flood mapping
18 CR Response - January 16, 2020 Proper design and engineering by the City shall be conducted to ensure that future approved FEMA floodplain mapping does not increase the existing floodplain. CU shall be consulted throughout the design and engineering process. Future claims or damage. 19 Supplement (Feb. 2, 2019) 19 Supplement (Feb. 2, 2019) 19 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) 20 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) 21 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) 21 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) 22 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) 23 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) 24 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) 25 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) 26 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) 26 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) 27 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) 28 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) 29 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) 29 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) 20 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) 2			CU Statement	
CU Response - January 16, 2020 Proper design and anyneering by the City shall be conducted to ensure that future approved FEM floodplain mapping does not increase the existing floodplain. CU shall be consulted throughout the design and engineering process. 192	117			these reasons, the dry would not be able to ensure that the hoodplain designations on Co South would not driange in the returne.
Fig. Key Issue Current Cimins or damages Education Completed Section Control Direction Control	118		CU Response - January 16, 2020	Proper design and engineering by the City shall be conducted to ensure that future approved FEMA floodplain mapping does not increase the existing floodplain. CU shall be consulted throughout the design and engineering process.
City Policies or Council Directions As part of standard practices, and as required by the State Engineer's Office, the city takes responsibility for the performance and safety of the projects and restriction projects				
CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) Yes Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) CU Response - January 16, 2020 Cup Policies or Council Direction Cup Vision Needed Cup Clarification Nee				
Yes Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement The city will be the permit holder for the flood misgation project and responsible for compliance with applicable state and federal regulations. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. The city will not waive any of the Expense of the existing CU levee to OSMP, with subsequent management and any restoration to be funded by OSMP. It city or a City-related entity wishes to a preference for implementing the July 11, 2018 OSBT recommendations that the University city Colorado. CU Response - January 16, 2	121			
Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 The city will be the permit holder for the flood mitigation project and responsible for compliance with applicable state and federal regulations. CU Response - January 16, 2020 COmment noted The city will be the permit holder for the flood mitigation project and responsible for compliance with applicable state and federal regulations. CU Response - January 16, 2020 Comment noted The city will be the permit holder for the flood mitigation project and responsible for compliance with applicable state and federal regulations. CU Response - January 16, 2020 Comment noted The city will be the permit holder for the flood mitigation project and responsible for compliance with applicable state and federal regulations. CU Response - January 16, 2020 Comment noted The city will be the permit holder for the flood mitigation project and responsible for compliance with applicable state and federal regulations. CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) CU Response - January 16, 2020 CU Response - J	122		33 Statement (1 6b. 2, 2013)	THE ORY THE DOLL OF COMMITTEE OF A BIT MAINTEEN
The city will not waive any of the provisions of the Colorado Governmental immunity act. CU Response - January 16, 2020 Comment noted. CU Response - January 16, 2020 Comment noted. Cu Response - January 16, 2020 Comment noted. Cu Response - January 16, 2020 Cu Response - January 16, 2020 Cu Statement Key Issue Carification Needed Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 Cu Statement Cu Response - January 16, 2020 Cu Response - January 16		Yes	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	The city will be the permit holder for the flood mitigation project and responsible for compliance with applicable state and federal regulations.
CU Response - January 16, 2020 Comment noted.			CU Statement	
125 Alignment Open Space Key Issue Open Space Key Issue Open Space Conveyance Area east and outside of the existing levee Open Space Conveyance Area east and outside of the existing levee Open Space Ope			CII Bachanea January 16, 2022	
128 City Policies or Council Direction			CO Response - January 16, 2020	vorminent noiev.
City Policies or Council Direction City Policies or Council Direction		Alignment	Open Space	
CLI Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) Clarification Needed Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement CU Response - January 16, 2020 CU Response - January 16, 20			Key Issue	
Custament (Feb. 2, 2019) If City or a City-related entity wishes to acquire additional portions (for example in OS-O area) of the Property for open space or other uses, then subject to University's approval (determined in its sole discretion) and agreement, City may purchase such land at fair market value as determined by a this appraisal. Any conveyance of University-conveylable therein shall be subject to approval by The Regents of the University of Colorado. Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement Key Issue #4(i) - From letter dated 3/28/19 On September 20, 2018, Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11, 2018 OSBT recommendations that the University convey 44 acres east and south of the existing CU levee to OSMP as part of annexation, with subsequent management and any restoration. Key Issue #4(i) - From letter dated 3/28/19 On September 20, 2018, Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11, 2018 OSBT recommendations that the University: (i) convey 44 acres of OS-O land east and south of the existing CU levee to the city, CU Response - January 16, 2020 Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area On Sept. 20 Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11 OSBT Recommendation: Convey 40 acres west and north of the existing CU levee to OSMP and restore approximately 17.4 acres as part of the flood mitigation project. Support through annexation conveyance and/or permanent protection of the remaining OS-O area inside the levee (appx. 35 acres) for long-term protection and possible restoration. CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	400		City Policies or Council Direction	On Sept. 20 Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11 OSBT Recommendation: Convey 44 acres east and south of the existing CU levee to OSMP, with subsequent management and any restoration to be funded by OSMP.
appraisal. Any conveyance of University-owned land contemplated herein shall be subject to approval by The Regents of the University of Colorado. Clarification Needed 130	128		CIT Statement (Eab 2 2010)	If City are a City related patity wishes to accura additional particles (for example in OS O area) of the Deports for example in OS O area) of the Deports for example in OS O area) of the Deports for example in OS O area) of the Deports for example in OS O area) of the Deports for example in OS O area) of the Deports for example in OS O area) of the Deports for example in OS O area) of the Deports for example in OS O area) of the Deports for example in OS O area) of the Deports for example in OS O area) of the Deports for example in OS O area) of the Deports for example in OS O area (all assertion) and dispersion) and dispersion area (all assertion) and dispersion) area (all assertion) and dispersion) area (all assertion) and dispersion) area (all assertion) area (all asserti
Clarification Needed CU Statement Key Issue #4(i) - From letter dated 3/28/19 On September 20, 2018, Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11, 2018 OSBT recommendations that the University: (i) convey 44 acres east and south of the existing CU levee to OSMP as part of annexation, with subsequent management and any restoration. Key Issue #4(i) - From letter dated 3/28/19 On September 20, 2018, Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11, 2018 OSBT recommendations that the University: (i) convey 44 acres of OS-O land east and south of the existing CU levee to the city, CU Response - January 16, 2020 CU Response - January 16, 2020 Response The university, as stated in our application, is open to discussing sale of a portion of the OS-O designated land to the city for Open Space. City Policies or Council Direction City Policies or Council Direction CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) Con September 20, 2018, Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11, 2018 OSBT recommendations that the University: (i) convey 44 acres east and south of the existing CU levee to OSMP and restore approximately 17.4 acres as part of the flood mitigation project. Support through annexation conveyance and/or permanent protection of the remaining 05-O-O area inside the levee (appx. 35 acres) for long-term protection and possible restoration. CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) If City or a City-related entity wishes to acquire additional portions (for example in OS-O area) of the Property for open space or other uses, then subject to University's approval (determined in its sole discretion) and agreement, City may purchase such land at fair market value as determined by a thir	129		CO Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	
CU Statement Key Issue #4(i) - From letter dated 3/28/19 On September 20, 2018, Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11, 2018 OSBT recommendations that the University: (i) convey 44 acres of OS-O land east and south of the existing CU levee to the city, CU Response - January 16, 2020 CU Response - January 16, 2020 Rey Issue City Policies or Council Direction City Policies or Council Direction CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) C		Clarification Needed	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	
On September 20, 2018, Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11, 2018 OSBT recommendations that the University: (i) convey 44 acres of OS-O land east and south of the existing CU levee to the city, CU Response - January 16, 2020 CU Response - January 16, 2020 Response - January 16, 2020 Response - The university, as stated in our application, is open to discussing sale of a portion of the OS-O designated land to the city for Open Space. Rev Issue City Policies or Council Direction City Policies or Council Direction On Sept. 20 Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11 OSBT Recommendation: Convey 40 acres west and north of the existing CU levee to OSMP and restore approximately 17.4 acres as part of the flood mitigation project. Support through annexation conveyance and/or permanent protection of the remaining OS-O area inside the levee (appx. 35 acres) for long-term protection and possible restoration. CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) If City or a City-related entity whise to acquire additional portions (for example in OS-O area) of the Property for open space or other uses, then subject to University's approval (determined in its sole discretion) and agreement, City may purchase such land at fair market value as determined by a thir	130			
CU Response - January 16, 2020 132 133 Key Issue City Policies or Council Direction City Policies or Council Direction CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) CU Response: The university, as stated in our application, is open to discussing sale of a portion of the OS-O designated land to the city for Open Space. CU Response: The university, as stated in our application, is open to discussing sale of a portion of the OS-O designated land to the city for Open Space. Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area On Sept. 20 Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11 OSBT Recommendation: Convey 40 acres west and north of the existing CU levee to OSMP and restore approximately 17.4 acres as part of the flood mitigation project. Support through annexation conveyance and/or permanent protection of the remaining OS-O area inside the levee (appx. 35 acres) for long-term protection and possible restoration. CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)				Key Issue #4(i) - From letter dated 3/28/19
CU Response - January 16, 2020 132 133 Key Issue City Policies or Council Direction City Policies or Council Direction CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) CU Response: The university, as stated in our application, is open to discussing sale of a portion of the OS-O designated land to the city for Open Space. CU Response: The university, as stated in our application, is open to discussing sale of a portion of the OS-O designated land to the city for Open Space. Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area On Sept. 20 Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11 OSBT Recommendation: Convey 40 acres west and north of the existing CU levee to OSMP and restore approximately 17.4 acres as part of the flood mitigation project. Support through annexation conveyance and/or permanent protection of the remaining OS-O area inside the levee (appx. 35 acres) for long-term protection and possible restoration. CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)				On Sentember 20, 2018. Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11, 2018 OSRT recommendations that the University (i) convey 44 across of OS O land east and east to 6 the existing CII. Jove to the city.
CU Response - January 16, 2020 132 133 Key Issue City Policies or Council Direction City Policies or Council Direction CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) CU Response - January 16, 2020 Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee,				on deposition 20, 2010, Outside a professive to implementaling the day 11, 2010 OOD 1 recommendations that the other stay, () Control 44 dates of OOO latter day and south of the chigh
CU Response - January 16, 2020 132 133 Key Issue City Policies or Council Direction City Policies or Council Direction CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) CU Response - January 16, 2020 Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area Open Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee,				CU Response: The university, as stated in our application, is open to discussing sale of a portion of the OS-O designated land to the city for Open Space.
Key Issue City Policies or Council Direction 133 CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) Cup Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area On Space Conveyance Area protected by Levee, within OS-O area protected by Levee			CU Response - January 16, 2020	
City Policies or Council Direction Council Stated a preference for implementing the July 11 OSBT Recommendation: Convey 40 acres west and north of the existing CU levee to OSMP and restore approximately 17.4 acres as part of the flood mitigation project. Support through annexation conveyance and/or permanent protection of the remaining OS-O area inside the levee (appx. 35 acres) for long-term protection and possible restoration. CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) If City or a City-related entity wishes to acquire additional portions (for example in OS-O area) of the Property for open space or other uses, then subject to University's approval (determined in its sole discretion) and agreement, City may purchase such land at fair market value as determined by a thin			Vay lague	Chan Sagar Conveyance Area protected by Layer within OS Cores
protection of the remaining OS-O area inside the levee (appx. 35 acres) for long-term protection and possible restoration. CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) If City or a City-related entity wishes to acquire additional portions (for example in OS-O area) of the Property for open space or other uses, then subject to University's approval (determined in its sole discretion) and agreement, City may purchase such land at fair market value as determined by a thir	133			
CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) If City or a City-related entity wishes to acquire additional portions (for example in OS-O area) of the Property for open space or other uses, then subject to University's approval (determined in its sole discretion) and agreement, City may purchase such land at fair market value as determined by a third	134		choice of country prooffor	
appraisal. Any conveyance of University-owned land contemplated herein shall be subject to approval by The Regents of the University of Colorado.			CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	If City or a City-related entity wishes to acquire additional portions (for example in OS-O area) of the Property for open space or other uses, then subject to University's approval (determined in its sole discretion) and agreement, City may purchase such land at fair market value as determined by a third-party
	135			appraisal. Any conveyance of University-owned land contemplated herein shall be subject to approval by The Regents of the University of Colorado.

	Λ.	В	
	Clarification Needed	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	On September 20, 2018, Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11, 2018 OSBT recommendations that the University convey 40 acres west and north of the existing CU levee and convey or protect the remaining 35 acres of OS-O to OSMP as part of annexation. Conveyance of the OS-O land
136	Ciarilleation Needed	CU Statement	on september 20, 2016, continue states on the existing of the
130		CO Statement	would include readures and interest attend 2/28/19 Key Issue #4 (ii and iii) - From letter dated 3/28/19
			Ney issue #4 (ii and iii) - 110 iii etter dated 3/20/13
			On September 20, 2018, Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11, 2018 OSBT recommendations that the University: (ii) convey 40 acres of OS-O land west and north of the existing CU levee and (iii) convey or protect the remaining 35 acres of OS-O land. Conveyance of
			the OS-O land would include features and material thereon (e.g. the existing CD levee) as real property appurtenances.
			the 600 rand would include readures and material thereon (e.g. the existing 60 revee) as real property apparenances.
137		CU Response - January 16, 2020	CU Response: The university, as stated in our application, is open to discussing sale of a portion of the OS-O designated land to the city for Open Space.
138			
139		Key Issue	Restoration and other uses/activities allowed in OS-O
		City Policies or Council Direction	Guiding Principles state that the city willcollaborate with CU to protect and improve the delivery of open space, restore high ecological value areas and/or provide areas for recreation in lower ecological value areas. The city and CU will work together to achieve greater open space acreage as part of either
			larger city open space conservation areas or limited-structural build, such as community gardens, recreation, solar gardens, etc.
140			However, OSBT recommended that all of OS-O be conveyed to OSMP or permanently protected as Open Space, which would not allow for community gardens, recreational ball fields, solar gardens, etc.
		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	CU Boulder remains committed to the BVCP Guiding Principles, as stated. The City and CU Boulder will work together to achieve greater open space acreage as part of either larger City open space conservation areas or limited-structural builds, such as community gardens, recreation, solar gardens, etc.
			Restoration of any portion of the Property designated OS-O under the BVCP for ecological benefits desired by the City or related entity. If City or a City-related entity. If City or a City-related entity wishes to acquire additional portions (for example in
			OS-O area) of the Property for open space or other uses, then subject to University's approval (determined in its sole discretion) and agreement, City may purchase such land at fair market value as determined by a third-party appraisal. Any conveyance of University's approval (determined in its sole discretion) and agreement, City may purchase such land at fair market value as determined by a third-party appraisal. Any conveyance of University's approval (determined in its sole discretion) and agreement, City may purchase such land at fair market value as determined by a third-party appraisal. Any conveyance of University's approval (determined in its sole discretion) and agreement, City may purchase such land at fair market value as determined by a third-party appraisal. Any conveyance of University's approval (determined in its sole discretion) and agreement, City may purchase such land at fair market value as determined by a third-party appraisal.
			subject to approval by The Board of Regents of the University of Colorado.
141	Clarification No.	Ota# Danasas 1: 5:1: 0.0010	Neiffering is and all advantage whether the principle of
	Ciarification Needed	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement	Clarification is needed to determine whether the university agrees with OSBT recommendations for conveyance or permanent protection of all of OS-O, which would not allow community gardens, recreational ball fields, solar gardens. See previous comments regarding options for addressing CU Boulder's interests in recreational fields.
		CO Statement	Interests in recreational rields.
			When CU Boulder develops the PUB land use area in the future, wetlands or other habitat mitigation may be required. The city is open to CU restoring for mitigation purposes any areas that would be permanently protected as City open space through the annexation agreement, with costs borne by the
142			when co boulded develops the POB land use area in the future, wettained of other habitat minigation may be required. The day is open to co restoring for minigation purposes any areas that would be permanently protected as City open space through the american agreement, with costs borne by the fundamental protected as City open space through the american agreement, with costs borne by the fundamental protected as City open space through the american agreement, with costs borne by the fundamental protected as City open space through the american agreement, with costs borne by the fundamental protected as City open space through the american agreement, with costs borne by the fundamental protected as City open space through the american agreement, with costs borne by the fundamental protected as City open space through the american agreement.
142			university.
			CU Response: At this time it appears the city is settling on some level of Variant I. CU remains committed to collaborating with the city on uses and activities in the OS-O area except for acreage that may be swapped for acreage in the Public area to allow for the development of the flood project.
			Restoration of any portion of the Property designated OS-O under the BVCP for ecological benefits desired by the City or related entity. If City or a City-related entity, with Cut Boulder with costs borne by the City or related entity. If City or a City-related entity wishes to acquire additional portions for example in
			10S-O area) of the Property for open space or other uses, the subject to University's approval (determined in its sole discretion) and agreement. City may purchase such land at fair markets value as determined by a third-party appraisal. Any conveyance of University-oneyance for the property of the pr
143		CLI Response - January 16, 2020	subject to approval by The Board of Regents of the University of Colorado."
144		Contropondo dandary 10, 2020	Subject to approve by the Board of the Grind of the Contract.
145		Kev Issue	Realign Dry Creek Ditch #2 and Secure Water Rights
		City Policies or Council Direction	On Sept. 20 Council stated a preference for implementing the July 11 OSBT Recommendation: Realign ditch to west of open space conveyance and restoration area, to extent practical and acceptable to the ditch board and CU and acquire sufficient water rights to support city's restoration goals.
146		_	
		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	Any realignment of Dry Creek Ditch No. 2 is to be designed in a manner that does not increase the existing 100-year or 500-year floodplain, as may be determined from time to time, and will not increase the presence of wetlands on the CU Boulder Development Tract. CU Boulder will be fairly compensated
			by the City for any land area that ceases to be developable due to building setbacks from the Dry Creek Ditch No. 2.
			If the University agrees, the City may, at its sole cost, realign Dry Creek Ditch No. 2.
147	0	0. "5	If the University agrees, the City may acquire or lease the University's water rights in Dry Creek Ditch No. 2.
		Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	The city would want First Right of Refusal on water rights as a condition of the provision of water service, per §11-1-19. The city would waive this requirement if water rights are conveyed to the city for open space and restoration purposes.
	Needed	CU Statement	
			Once decisions are made regarding ownership and permanent protection of open space and restoration on OS-O and ownership of the water rights, the City may seek to realign the Dry Creek No. 2 ditch for the purpose of providing irrigation to City open space and restoration areas. Any design
148			modifications and realignment of the ditch for the purposes of irrigation would require collaboration and approval from the Dry Creek No. 2 Ditch Company.
148		CLI Pasnonsa - January 16, 2020	Water Rights regarding Dry Creek Ditch No. 2 will be discussed in the future after the 6 key issues have been resolved.
150		Co Response - January 16, 2020	TREAD TO SPECIAL TO USE A DIRECT TO C. 2 WILL DE CHARGE CHIEF CHIE
151	Alianment	Transportation Impacts	
152	Alignment	Key Issue	Performance- based transportation
102			Guiding Principles state that
		S., . Sholed of Courion Direction	The transportation needs generated by future development at the site will not unduly impact the transportation networks that serve the property. Impacts to local and regional networks will be mitigated through implementation of performance- based standards. The city and CU will complete additional planning
			and transportation analysis to further develop performance-based standards, including but not limited to maximum amount of parking, trip budgets, transit use, pedestrian and trail connections and access to transit passes. Planning considerations will be addressed collaboratively by the city and CU and vill
			include innovative and long-range technologies, including electric vehicles, autonomous vehicles, etc. as well as possible joint options with city-funded transit.
153			and the state of t
155		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	CU Boulder remains committed to the Guiding Principles and will work with the City to identify a performance-based transportation plan at the time that a Concept Design is presented to the City by CU Boulder.
154		ICU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	TO Boulder remains committed to the Guiding Principles and will work with the City to Identity a benormance-based transportation bian at the time that a Concept Design is presented to the City by CO Boulder.
	No	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	Co Boulder remains committed to the Guidning Principles and will work with the City to identify a performance based transportation plan at the urine that a Concept besign is presented to the City by Co Boulder. The multi-modal traffic access study wist be completed, and the study's conclusions / recommendations concurred by city staff prior to the annexation application being heard by Planning Board. This study will need to inform the performance standards that will be included in the annexation agreement.

	Λ	D	
		В	Key Issue #5 - From letter dated 3/28/19
			Conduct a transportation analysis to determine necessary public improvements, access/circulation and performance standards. The city and university both agree that performance-based transportation standards will be developed (e.g. trip budget). It is city staff's understanding that a transportation analysis will be conducted prior to annexation to inform these standards, though the application states that they will be developed at the time of university's Concept Design (i.e. post annexation). Clarification and further discussions are needed around the issue of when these standards will be developed. City staff views multi-modal connections through the site and to the RTD Park-N-Ride as critical factors in mitigating transportation-related impacts of future development. As such, city staff will recommend to City Council that, as part of the
			university's development program, CU Boulder: *Construct a 12' wide multi-use path with 2' wide shoulders on each side of the path along the west boundary of the site on an alignment consistent with what's in the Transportation Master Plan (TMP) from SH-93 to Table Mesa Drive;
			*Construct a 12 wide multi-use path with 2 wide shoulders on each side of the path on the north side of South Loop Drive between Table Mesa Drive and the US-36 Bikeway path on an alignment consistent with the TMP;
			• Enhance the existing bike and pedestrian connection on Table Mesa Drive / S. Boulder Rd from the RTD Park-and-Ride Lot to South Loop Drive. This work would involve the construction of a 12' wide multi-use path and the construction of a buffered bike lane on the east side of Table
			Mesa Road; and
			• Obtain the CDOT Access Permit for the new access point (curb-cut) from SH-93. CU Boulder shall pay for the construction of the new intersection and traffic control (stop sign and/or traffic signal) as required by CDOT.CU Response: No. As we stated, CU Boulder remains committed to the Guiding Principles and will work with the City to identify a performance-based transportation plan at the time that a Concept Design is presented to the City by CU Boulder.
			CU Response: We are open to discussing these requests with the city when more detail can be provided, in the context of the entire agreement and with resolution of other key issues.
156		CU Response - January 16, 2020	
157 158		Key Issue	Multi-modal hub
100			Guiding Principles state that
159		•	Implement a multimodal mobility hub and transit connections between the CU South Boulder property and other Boulder campus locations to manage employee and resident access and mobility. As agreed to in the Guiding Principles, CU Boulder will create a multi-modal hub for transportation when a requisite number of employees and residents are occupying and accessing the Property at a level that justifies the creation of such multi-modal hub.
			On other areas of the Boulder campus, CU Boulder typically includes Vehicular Area Guidelines in the Design Guidelines. Examples of these include:
			Enhance existing streets throughout for safer multi-modal movement and improved appearance utilizing surfacing, lighting, signage, bicycle parking, and site accessories.
			• Recognize that campus policy is to give pedestrians and bicycles priority over service and private vehicles in multi- modal areas.
160	Ameliania Nasadad	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	• Provide facilities and amenities to encourage alternative means of travel to and from campus, such as information kiosks, bus shelters, maps, and visitor directions.
161 162	Analysis Needed	CU Statement	Prior to the annexation application being heard by Planning Board, specific information about the standards and construction timing for a mobility hub will be developed. The multi-modal traffic access study will evaluate the level of transit service to provide convenient service and connectivity between CU Boulder campuses and residential facilities. CU Response: We are open to discussing these requests with the city when more detail can be provided, in the context of the entire agreement and with resolution of other key issues.
163		Co response - Sandary 10, 2020	Of response. We are upon to discussing areas requests with the city when more detail can be provided, in the context of the entire egreement and with resolution or other key issues.
164		Key Issue	Multi-Modal Access
165		City Policies or Council Direction	
166	Analysis Needed	CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	• City staff will recommend to City Council that CU Boulder construct a 12' wide multi-use path with 2' wide shoulders on each side of the path along the west boundary of the site on an alignment consistent with what's in the Transportation Master Plan (TMP) from SH-93 to Table Mesa Drive. CU Boulder will
		CU Statement	• City Stain with econtinent to City Council that Co Boulder Construct a 12 wide multi-use part with 2 wide shoulders on each side of the part along the west boundary of the site of an anginitient consistent with what's in the Hansportation waster Plan (1MP) from SP-93 to Table west boundary of the site of an anginitient consistent with what's in the Hansportation waster Plan (1MP) from SP-93 to Table west boundary of the site of an anginitient consistent with what's in the Hansportation waster Plan (1MP) from SP-93 to Table west boundary of the site of an anginitient consistent with what's in the Hansportation waster Plan (1MP) from SP-93 to Table west boundary of the site of an anginitient consistent with what's in the Hansportation waster Plan (1MP) from SP-93 to Table west boundary of the site of an anginitient consistent with what's in the Hansportation waster Plan (1MP) from SP-93 to Table west boundary of the site of an anginitient consistent with what's in the Hansportation waster Plan (1MP) from SP-93 to Table west boundary of the site of an anginitient consistent with the Hansportation waster Plan (1MP) from SP-93 to Table west boundary of the site of an anginitient consistent with the Hansportation waster Plan (1MP) from SP-93 to Table west boundary of the site of an anginitient consistent with the Plan (1MP) from SP-93 to Table west boundary of the site of an anginitient consistent with the site of an anginitient with the site of an angine with the site of an angine with the site of
			• City staff will recommend to City Council that CU Boulder construct an east / west 12' wide multi-use path with 2' wide shoulders on each side of the path on the north side of South Loop Drive between Table Mesa Drive and the US-36 Bikeway path on an alignment consistent with the TMP. CU Boulder will grant access to the public for the multi-use path.
			• City staff will recommend to City Council that CU Boulder enhance the existing bike and pedestrian connection on Table Mesa Drive / S. Boulder Rd from the RTD Park-and-Ride Lot to South Loop Drive. This work would involve the construction of a 12' wide multi-use path and the construction of a buffered bike lane on the east side of Table Mesa Rd.
			• City staff will recommend to City Council that CU Boulder reserve the right-of-way and permit access by the public for any of the other multi-use paths shown in the TMP on the site.
167			City staff will recommend to City Council that CU Boulder maintain the existing paths on the site and grant access to the public. The main internal streets on the site shall be designed and constructed by CU Boulder and shall include multi-modal design features.
168		CU Response - January 16, 2020	CU Response: CU is years away from creating a development plan for the area and the suitability of a mobility hub will depend upon the final flood project scale and boundaries and whether housing is constructed on the site.
169 170		Key leave	Access / Circulation
170		Key Issue City Policies or Council Direction	Access / Circulation
172		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	
173		Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement	CU Boulder shall obtain the CDOT Access Permit for the new access point (curb-cut) from SH-93. CU Boulder shall pay for the construction of the new intersection and traffic control (stop sign and/or traffic signal) as required by CDOT. The existing multi-use path must be intergraded into the design of the intersection.
174 175		CU Response - January 16, 2020	CU Response: If access is required from SH-93 prior to CU's development of the area and is needed for the City to conduct, perform, execute, maintain, or in any other way operate their Project, now or in the future, the City is fully responsible for all costs and permits related to vehicle access from SH-93. City is also responsible for any multi-use path that is requested or necessitated prior to CU's development of the area.
176		Key Issue	Connected multi-modal system
			Guiding Principles state that
177			Incorporate connected and safe pedestrian, bike and transit systems through CU South integrated into the broader city and regional bicycle and pedestrian network, including safe street crossings, trailhead(s), soft surface recreation trails and a trail link(s) to the South Boulder Creek Trail in coordination with OSMP. When creating and maintaining recreational opportunities, such as trail connections through the property, do so with consideration for likely and potential impacts to adjacent open space, and for mitigation of those impacts, as appropriate.
		l	

		_	
	A	В	C
		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	On other areas of the Boulder campus, CU Boulder typically includes Vehicular Area Guidelines in the Design Guidelines. Examples of these include:
			Place generously-sized bicycle parking areas along multimodal streets and near campus activity centers and student residence halls and courts.
			• Orient bus shelters to allow sufficient views of arriving buses and to provide shelter from prevailing winter winds and snow. Include seating, trash receptacles, bus schedules, and brightly lit interiors. Use vandal-resistant materials including break-resistant glazing and coated black steel structures and roofs.
			• Establish drop-off zones near major activity centers for convenient use. Provide seating for waiting, attractive landscaping, emergency telephones, and adequate lighting.
			Provide landscaping in and around parking lots to soften hardscape appearances from streets, break up extended rows of cars, and provide shade.
			• Ensure adequate lighting for safe use and clear pathways from parking lots to adjacent building entrances. Design sufficient setbacks between parking lots and streets, which could include raised landscaping, berms, and/or walls to block views into the lot.
			• Include loading and service vehicle parking spaces adjacent to major buildings. Screen or buffer views to service areas where possible with a combination of screen walls, opaque enclosures, gates, and landscaping. Limit service parking to designated spaces only.
			Provide raised curbs selectively along campus walkways to discourage all modes of transportation from crossing or parking on lawns or adjacent landscaping.
178			
	Yes	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement	• The site development should provide children's play areas if the anticipated CU Boulder housing would accommodate families. The BVCP calls out a Level of Service standard for playgrounds at 1/4 mile. Depending upon the proximity of future development on CU South, a playground may be warranted. At a minimum, sidewalk and path connections should be planned to connect future residents to the existing Tantra Park.
			All landscaping within public rights-of-way and along private streets will meet the city's minimum street tree and planting strip requirements.
			• Any roads or trails on land conveyed to the city, including any potential connectors to adjacent city Open Space, will either be designated by the city and become a part of the city's Open Space system or abandoned by restoring the underlying land at the city's expense. Designated roads and trails will need to be accessed from designated trailheads and access points. OSMP staff would like to remind CU of the following: all OSMP fences and boundaries must be respected at all times; and no gates, trail connections or other access points will be allowed from the CU property onto city Open Space without prior approval from the Open Space Board of Trustees and in accordance with the gate policy of OSMP.
			• In the spirit of the Guiding Principles, the city will coordinate with CU Boulder on the development of any new trail, or the designation or abandonment of any existing roads and trails, on land conveyed to the city. However, final determination on any of the above will be at the city's sole discretion
179			
180		CU Response - January 16, 2020	CU Response: CU will perform to the university standards listed and will consider playgrounds as appropriate to any housing developed on the site. Landscaping along right-of-ways will follow CU guidelines.
181			
182		Key Issue	Protect neighborhoods from Transportation Impacts
	1	City Policies or Council Direction	Guiding Principles state that
183]		The street design will minimize impacts into nearby residential neighborhoods, such as Tantra Park, Basemar, Martin Acres and High View.
		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	As agreed to in the Guiding Principles, CU Boulder will minimize impacts into nearby residential neighborhoods. CU Boulder shall be given access to City streets and roadways at such points as are reasonably necessary to develop the Property and consistent with applicable provisions of the state highway
184		, , ,	access code and City's site access standards. The City will be given the opportunity to provide input during the Concept Design for CU Boulder South.
185	Analysis Needed	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	The multi-modal traffic access study will evaluate ways to minimize impacts to adjacent neighborhoods and other key issues.
		., , _ ,	CU Response: Per our May 20, 2019 letter, "We are open to discussing these requests with the city when more
			detail can be provided, in the context of the entire agreement and with resolution of the
186		CU Response - January 16, 2020	
187		, , , , ,	· ·
188		Key Issue	No Bypass
		City Policies or Council Direction	
189		Only 1 Sholes of Couries Direction	
109	1	CLI Statement (Ech. 2, 2010)	Discourage any losside traffic from cutting through the property to avoid impacts to the Table Messa Drive/Broadway connection.
190		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	CU Boulder has committed to not creating a "bypass" roadway between SH 93 and US 36. CU Boulder will evaluate options for managing and restricting future traffic through traffic calming, speed reduction, and other design measures to ensure that a bypass roadway is not created between SH 93 and US 36.
191	Yes	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	Agreed. Provisions will need to be made to allow transit buses and emergency response vehicles access through the site. Additionally, the multi-modal traffic access study will evaluate ways to discourage through traffic.
191	163	Otan (163pon36 to 1 6b. 2, 2019	Agreed. Frovisions will need to be made to anow trainist buses and temergenity response training manufactures access months and access study will evaluate ways to discourage through trainic. CU Response Per our May 20, 2019 letter, "We are open to discussing these requests with the city when more
			detail can be provided, in the context of the entire agreement and with resolution of the
400		CH Bosponso January 10, 2020	
192		CU Response - January 16, 2020	Inajor issues auove.
193	A.17		
194	Alignment	Building Mass, Height, and Desi	
195		Key Issue	Viewsheds
		City Policies or Council Direction	Guiding Principles state that
			Buildings will be designed and sited in a manner to protect views and contribute positively to the character of the city's "gateway".
196			Building location, massing and height will protect and complement views of the mountain backdrop, particularly the viewsheds from the US Highway 36 bike path, the South Boulder Creek Trail, US Highway 36 and State Highway 93.

	Δ	R	C
\vdash	A	CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	UBoulder has high standards for future development across all of its campus, and shares the same values as the City regarding gateway character and preservation of the mountain backdrop. CU Boulder agrees, and notes that CU Boulder's PK-U/O and OS-O designated land is located closest to the US
		55 Statement (1 6b. 2, 2015)	Go to be in a second to the control of the control
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
			Preliminary viewshed analysis of the mountain backdrop demonstrate that buildings up to 110' will not impede views of the mountain backdrop, and we are restricting buildings to 55' through the Guiding Principles.
			On other areas of the Boulder campus, CU Boulder includes Landscaping Guidelines that address the relationship between the campus and the natural foothills landscape, campus land contours, drainage, and plantings in relation to buildings.
			• Identify and preserve view corridors, especially to the mountain backdrop.
i			• The 2007 Design Guidelines include Community Interface Guidelines, which address campus corners, edges, entrances, and connections between other CU Boulder campuses and the City.
l			Create large-scale landscape designs at campus corners including mass plantings and clear durable functional identification signage. Consult the campus signage standards for all signage designs.
			• Provide campus edge landscaping, signage, site accessories, and material selections to create a break between adjacent uses while maintaining a sense of continuity, softening views of perimeter parking lots, and improving safety for all modes of movement along the campus interconnections with the community.
			• Enhance transitions to and from the campus through appropriate lighting levels, simple and functional signage, appropriately scaled plant material, and elimination of clutter.
			• Link CU Boulder properties through functional circulation systems, similar landscaping and accessories, and directional signage.
197			
		Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement	Viewshed ProtectionBoth the city and CU Boulder agree that identifying and protecting high- quality view corridors is important. City staff proposes a height ceiling concept as a method for protecting views of the mountain backdrop.
			The height ceiling concept would include determining an elevation that no building can exceed. For example, the highest point on the southwest hillside is 5,465 (per city GIS) - roughly 100 feet from the lowest point of the Development Tract. A height ceiling at or around that elevation would allow 4 – 5 story buildings in the lower areas of the Development Tract, with progressively shorter buildings as the elevation increases toward the west. This approach could provide design latitude while protecting views of the mountain backdrop.
			Outdoor Lighting
			City staff propose that future lighting comply with the city's outdoor lighting standards to reduce impacts to wildlife in the South Boulder Creek riparian area. This would involve (i) compliance with the city's outdoor lighting standards and (ii) submit, at an appropriate time determined by the university, a Letter of Certification that the university's planned lighting complies with B.R.C. 9-9-16.g.
198			
199		CU Response - January 16, 2020	CU Response: Viewshields will be negotiated after the 6 key issues have been resolved.
200		Vey loave	Building Height
201		Key Issue City Policies or Council Direction	Guiding Pergini Guiding Principles state that
202			Building heights will maintain general consistency with the city's height limits, with buildings varying in height and visual interest. Building heights will transition gently from the open space and to neighborhoods to the west.
		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	CU Boulder has agreed to "maintain general consistency with the City's height limits" which means that CU Boulder will abide by a height limit of 55 feet for the construction of buildings on CU Boulder South, with building height being measured as the vertical distance from the average of the finished ground level to the average height of a finished roof.
203			Natural grades and contours of the Property will allow for gentle transitions from open space and to neighborhoods to the west.
		Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement	Building Height Buildings within the city of Boulder have been constructed with the city's height limit since 1971. More information is needed to determine if staff are supportive of using an alternate method for measuring building height, such as an analysis indicating a need to use an alternative approach.
			City and university staff are in agreement that future development will be designed with natural contours. However, more information is needed to quantify how this standard will be achieved. Please provide specific standards or a diagram showing how the university plans to meet this goal
			Regarding Varying Height Please indicate your willingness to include the following standard as a guide for future master planning and site planning: §M-1-28(b) Please propose amendments as necessary.
204			Regarding Transitions to Neighborhood: City staff proposes that the annexation include a suite of tools the university would use to avoid or minimize noise and visual conflicts between adjacent residential land uses and future development. Examples include interface zones, transitional areas, site and building design and cascading gradients of density in the design of the site.
205		CU Response - January 16, 2020	CU Response: See our response above on Feb 2, 2019. City and CU staff are currently discussing standards for benchmark heights across the property.
206			
207		City Policies or Council Direction	Wetlands Guiding Principles state that
208		City Policies or Council Direction	Guiding Principles state that Wetlands will be maintained, preserved, protected, restored and enhanced in a manner consistent with the city's Land Use Code.
209		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	Westards will be manutament, preserved, protected, resolved and eminined on a manuted in a manuted on a manut
		Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement	The city will require a wetland delineation of the flood mitigation project area for environmental permitting. Prior to the first reading of the annexation ordinance, all wetlands on the site must be delineated in compliance with the city's Stream, Wetland and Water Body ordinance. As previously discussed with CU Boulder staff, the city recommends that CU Boulder and the City conduct a joint wetland delineation, with costs split proportionate to the land area required for each purpose.
			As a condition of annexation, CU Boulder will be responsible for obtaining all necessary environmental permits and mitigate for environmental impacts resulting from any development on CU land, including a city of Boulder Stream, Wetland and Water Body permit.
240			Any activities performed in the wetland or wetland buffer areas shall be regulated by the city's Stream, Wetlands and Water Body Protection ordinance and may require a wetland permit.
210 211		CLI Response - January 16, 2020	CU Response: More discussion and detail is required for CU to respond.
211		OO NESPONSE - January 10, 2020	OU reagonise. more discussion and vetain is required for OU to respond.
213		Key Issue	Steep Slopes
		City Policies or Council Direction	Guiding Principles state that
214		CIT Charles and (Each 2, 2012)	Development on slopes at or exceeding 15 percent will be minimized in a manner consistent with the city's Land Use Code.
215		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	Agreed

П	Δ	В	
	Analysis Needed	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	Hillside Development
	na.yolo 1400dod	CU Statement	The CU South Shapins Study (2002) identified potential building pads on portions of the western slopes, particularly the southwest slopes. The conceptual plan developed in 2017 included 66.2 acres identified as "Natural No Build Area Including Wetlands" along the eastern and western areas of the site.
			Section 9-2-17 of the city's Land Use Code states that "in annexations of hillside areas, the city council may impose conditions designed to mitigate the effects of development on lands containing slopes of fifteen percent or greater." As shown on page 18 of this map packet, several areas along the western portion of the site contain slopes that exceed 15 percent.
			Please provide a narrative or illustration describing areas along the western hillside that you do and do not anticipate exploring for future development. If you do anticipate development along the western hillside(s), please describe your approach to ensuring compatibility with adjacent land uses (e.g. housin-type, density, building height, etc.) and specific steps to be taken to account for the suitability for future development and access (e.g. noise mitigation, lighting, etc.)
216			type, density, building neight, etc.) and specific steps to be taken to account for the suitability for future development and access (e.g. noise miligation, lighting, etc.)
210			
217		CU Response - January 16, 2020	CU Response: Once the city has selected a final flood mitigation project and negotiated with CU what final area will be available for development, recognizing that we will have no detailed development plans at time of annexation, we can agree to identify the areas available for development that have a 15% or greater slope and will agree not to develop within such areas. There will be no details beyond this to provide a narative or illustration of before annexation is required.
218 219	Alignment	Site Design & Quality	
220	7 tilgrifficht	Key Issue	Clustered, Village Design
		City Policies or Council Direction	
221			Development will be compact, clustered in a village style. Any non-residential buildings will be human- scaled.
222	V	CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	Agreed.
	Yes	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement	During the study session on Oct. 9, City Council asked for more specific definitions for terms like "village concept". Below are examples of existing definitions with sources. Please respond to the following two questions:
		oo dadana	1. Indicate your willingness to include these definitions in the annexation agreement and/or offer any suggested amendments.
			2. Indicate when, in the university's process, design guidelines for CU South will be developed and how the city can provide input into the guidelines.
			"High Quality": Building Design Quality and Aesthetics. Design high-quality buildings that are compatible with the character of the area through simple, proportional, and varied design, high quality and natural building materials that create a sense of permanence, and building detailing, materials and
			proportions (referenced from Boulder Land Use Code §M-1-3).* "Compact, clustered in a village style": The heart of the village will have a recognizable center, discrete physical boundaries, and a pedestrian scale and orientation. Residential buildings will be located in a contiguous group, with adjacent and
			fronting lots oriented toward each other in some ordered geometric way—as on a street, a green, or a paved square—and forming a distinct boundary with the surrounding countryside. Future planning will emphasize an orderly mix of land uses that meets the daily needs of on-site residents. This mix is
			intended to contain convenience retail, food services, personnel, and other student service uses as determined through further planning and consistent with university needs. Direct pedestrian linkages will be provided between residential and non-residential uses. Human-scaled: Projects are designed to a human scale and promote a safe and vibrant pedestrian experience through the location of building frontages along public streets, plazas, sidewalks and paths, and through the use of building elements, design details and landscape materials that include, without limitation, the location of entrances and
			windows, and the creation of transparency and activity at the pedestrian level (referenced from Boulder Land Use Code §M-1-3). Usable open spaceis arranged to be accessible and functional and incorporates quality landscaping, a mixture of sun and activity at the pedestrian level.
			active recreational purposes is of a size that it will be functionally useable and located in a safe and convenient proximity to the uses to which it is meant to serve. The open space will provide a buffer to protect sensitive environmental features and natural areas. (Referenced from B.R.C. §9-2-14(h)-2(A))
223			
224 225		CU Response - January 16, 2020	CU Reponse: See response to "Review Process on Future Development Plans" above. CU has offered the city and community to have input on the campus Master Plan, and then a 60 day review and input on conceptual plans for the overall development of the site.
226		Key Issue	Structures within the 500- year floodplain
		City Policies or Council Direction	Guiding Principles state that
227		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	All enclosed academic structures, offices, or residential uses will be constructed outside of the FEMA 500-year floodplain. As agreed to in the Guiding Principles, no habitable structures or academic buildings will be built on the Property within the FEMA 500-year floodplain.
		CO Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	As agreed to in the Guiding Frincipies, no habitable structures of academic buildings will be built on the Friperty within the Friend 500-year noodplain.
228			Notwithstanding the foregoing, If additional land is required for the flood mitigation project outside of the PK-UO designated portion of the Property or if the City Council selects a flood mitigation project that would change the boundaries of the 500-year flood plain, or if the City proposes any other boundary changes, and University agrees to such adjustment, the City shall change the BVCP to allow development in the 500-year flood plain.
	No	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement	The flood mitigation project will be designed to mitigate for a 500-year storm event. As such, the detention area for the flood mitigation project will be in the 500-year floodplain. Although the city's floodplain regulations would allow buildings for human occupancy in the 500-year floodplain, the city would restrict such as the city would restrict such as flood mitigation detention area. Any future development in the detention area could affect the volume of water that would be detained, and therefore reduce the effectiveness of the mitigation project.
			If necessary, city staff will coordinate the review of Land Use Map changes. Such changes, if made prior to annexation, require approval of the City of Boulder Planning Board and City Council, with a Call-up option for the Boulder County Board of County Commissioners. The land use change may be processed prior to public hearings on the annexation application.
			Critical Facilities. Any structure in the floodplain consider a critical facility or lodging facility (including student housing) will require an emergency management plan approval prior to issuance of a floodplain development permit, that meets the requirements of the city's floodplain development regulations. All
			Citican administ. Any suddiction in the todaplant outside in the todaplant administration and in the city's floodplant development regulations in effect at the time of permitting and may require a floodplant will be required to comply with the required to comply with the required to comply with the city's floodplant development regulations in effect at the time of permitting and may require a floodplant will be required to comply with the city's floodplant development regulations in effect at the time of permitting and may require a floodplant development regulations.
229			CUI Deponders. As long as the university retains the sight to develop as long than 120 across in the area designated as Public that university retains the sight to develop as long than 120 across in the area designated as Public that university retains the sight to develop as long than 120 across in the area designated as Public that university retains the sight to develop as long than 120 across in the area designated as Public that university retains the sight to develop as long than 120 across in the area designated as Public that university retains the sight to develop as long than 120 across in the area designated as Public that university retains the sight to develop as long than 120 across in the area designated as Public that university retains the sight to develop as long than 120 across in the area designated as Public that university retains the sight to develop as long than 120 across in the area designated as Public than 120 across in the ar
			CU Response: As long as the university retains the right to develop no less than 129 acres in the area designated as Public, the university commits that no habitable structures or academic buildings will be built on the site within the FEMA 500-year flood plain. CU will follow FEMA regulations regarding floodplain development and will submit a floodplain development permit to the City of Boulder. CU is not required to follow the Critical Facilities Ordinance or High Hazard zone requirements, as those are local regulations. If the university does not retain the right to develop the full 129 acres in the area
			designated as Public and is granted the right to develop some acreage in the area designated as OS-O, the university will be allowed to develop in the 500 year flood plain, if any, in those OS-O designated acres.
230		CU Response - January 16, 2020	
		Kov Issue	Building Standards
232			
		2, . 3 3. 30411011 2110011011	Country I micropers State Inter. It will model future resilience and sustainability for design, construction, and maintenance strategies. Development will meet the equivalent of the U.S. Green Building Council's Gold or Platinum LEED standards or other applicable sustainability standards for residential development.
233			
234	Clarification No. 1		
235	Clarification Needed		
236			CU Response: CU Boulder's development will model future resiliency and sustainability for design, construction and maintenance strategies. CU Boulder is required by the state to build to USGBC LEED Gold or equivalent.
237			
238		Key Issue	
239		City Policies of Council Direction	
240		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	Agreed. Agreed to the control of the
231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238	Clarification Needed	Key Issue City Policies or Council Direction CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019) Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement CU Response - January 16, 2020 Key Issue City Policies or Council Direction	CU Boulder's development will model future resiliency and sustainability for design, construction and maintenance strategies. CU Boulder is required by the state to build to USGBC LEED Gold or equivalent. City staff proposes that future development meeting the USGBC LEED Gold standard achieve 12 points within the Energy and Atmosphere credit number two: Minimum Energy Performance. The university would share a copy of the LEED application once submitted and the final LEED certification received by the USGBC. CU Response: CU Boulder's development will model future resiliency and sustainability for design, construction and maintenance strategies. CU Boulder is required by the state to build to USGBC LEED Gold or equivalent. Public access to site Guiding Principles state that Access will continue to be allowed on the site consistent with public access provided on other CU campuses.

	۸	В	
	Clarification Needed	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	Prior to annexation, submit a pre-development access management plan that describes, at a high level, how trash, public parking, signage and public access timing will be managed.
	Ciarification Needed	CU Statement	From to annexation, submit a pre-development access management plan that describes, at a right level, now dash, public parking, signage and public access timing will be managed.
			Parks and Recreation• CU Boulder should consider the development of a formal running track with field sports as part of the Campus Master Plan update. Throughout the past several years, the city has gotten increasing numbers of track and field athletes approaching the city and requesting a new facility for these types of sports. This is in large part to decreased access of the public on to CU Boulder tracks and limited availability on Boulder Valley School District tracks. A new track and field venue at CU South, with some level of public access, would solve many of these types of challenges.
			• Similarly, city staff requests that CU Boulder consider a publicly accessible dog park in their future plans for CU South. This area has long performed as an off-leash dog area for all the various residential areas adjacent to the site and this area of the city could use a designated dog park. Currently, the city provides dog parks in the east part of the city (East Boulder Community Park, Valmont) and the north at Foothills Community Park.
			Parks and Recreation staff will review and coordinate with the applicant to determine the appropriate park uses and sizes (for any shared parks and recreation facilities) according to the Parks and Recreation Design Standards and needs assessment of park amenities for the CU south area.
241			
242		CU Response - January 16, 2020	CU Response: Access will continue to be allowed on the site consistent with public access provided on other CU campuses. CU will consider site amenities for public enjoyement during later designing phases.
243 244	Alignment	Land Hoe Mix	
245	Alignment	Land Use Mix Key Issue	Prohibited Uses
		City Policies or Council Direction	
246		•	The site will not include large-scale sport venues (i.e., football stadium), high rise buildings (maintaining substantial consistency with the city's height limits), large research complexes, such as those on East Campus, roadway bypass between Highway 93 and Highway 36 or first-year student housing.
247		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	Agreed.
248 249	Yes	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	Prior to annexation, definitions for a large-scale sports venue and large research complex will be developed. CU Response: Definitions will be provided after the 6 key issues have been resolved.
250		CO Response - January 16, 2020	OU Treaponise. Delimination mili de province direi direi O ney issues nave deen resulved.
251		Key Issue	Housing the Predominant Use
		City Policies or Council Direction	
252			Housing will be the predominant use of the site for areas not used for flood mitigation (i.e., with a target of 1,100 residential and facilities. The site will emphasize housing units over non- residential space (jobs) to help balance jobs and housing in the community.
253		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	CU Boulder will prioritize building housing for faculty, staff, graduate students and non-first year students on the Property to facilitate the goal shared by CU Boulder and the City to provide more housing on University property. CU Boulder is committed to not building first year student housing on the Property and no fraternities or sororities will be located on this Property. CU Boulder cannot commit to a specific development plan at this time as no development plans currently exist.
254	Yes	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	No further comments by city staff.
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	CU Response:Should the city select a flood mitigation project that places an east-west dam across the entire north-end of the property, thereby isolating the developable property from Table Mesa and the local community, the university will need to determine if, and to what degree, housing remains suitable and feasible behind the dam. If housing is deemed suitable, the university commits that development on the site will be compact and clustered in a village style. (BVCP GP)
255 256		CU Response - January 16, 2020	
257		Key Issue	Housing for university needs
		City Policies or Council Direction	
258			Housing on the site will meet the needs of university faculty, staff and non-freshmen students in order to address the fact that Boulder housing is currently unaffordable to faculty, staff and students. Providing workforce and non-freshmen housing will contribute positively to the community's housing affordability goals and aid the university in its recruitment and retention. Housing should be mutually beneficial to the community and university and integrated with needs of the community rather than built as isolated enclaves.
259		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	Agreed. If CU Boulder builds the anticipated 1,100 units on the Property, this would increase the City's total housing stock by over 2.4%, providing housing for CU Boulder staff, faculty and students, thereby relieving pressures on existing local housing stock and transportation arteries into the City.
260	Analysis Needed	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019 CU Statement	For any future residential development the requirements of B.R.C. 9-13, Inclusionary Housing or any future affordable housing program that may replace Inclusionary Housing would apply unless the owner is otherwise exempt from zoning regulations.
261 262			CU Response: See the response above for "Housing for Predominant Use". CU is not subject to city zoning, or the Inclusionary Housing or affordable housing programs.
263		Key Issue	Non-residential Uses
		City Policies or Council Direction	Guiding Principles state that The overall non-residential space footprint will be minimized and support and benefit the convenience of the residents, employees and visitors to residential and recreational uses of the property.
			The exact amount, types and location of residential and non-residential space will be refined to minimize impacts as a long-term master plan is developed and as transportation analysis is conducted.
264			Academic facilities will include space for research and/or education pertaining to natural environment, such as ecological restoration, floodplains and related topics.
265	Ver	CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	Agreed. The city calculating that a transportation and visit the completed during the appropriate project the manipum amount of any residential angular transportation and visit the completed during the appropriate project to the manipum amount of any residential angular transportation and the complete project to the complete project transportation and transportat
266	Yes	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	The city acknowledges that a transportation analysis will be completed during the annexation review to determine the maximum amount of non-residential space and residential units.
267 268		CU Response - January 16, 2020	Our long-term master plan and eventual development plans will inform a transportation many years from now. Further, non-residential uses as a percentage on the property may expand to 100% if the university should deem the property to be unsuitable for housing with the selection of Variant I.
269		Key Issue	Phasing of Non- residential Development
			Except for recreation facilities, development will be phased such that non-residential space will be phased after a significant amount of housing is built. Later phases will be dependent on demonstrating that initial phases achieve objectives of mitigating impacts.
270		<u> </u>	
271 272	Clarification Needed	CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	Agreed. Dispersion of property as definition for a "significant amount" housing. For example, would the university master plan include a general physical exhaults that would be referenced here?
212	Ciamication Needed	Stati Response to Feb. 2, 2019	Please propose a standard or definition for a "significant amount" housing. For example, would the university master plan include a general phasing schedule that would be referenced here?
273		CU Response - January 16, 2020	CU Response: Our long-term master plan and eventual development plans will inform what will be developed on the site many years from now. Further, non-residential uses may be the first and only type of development on the site with the selection of Variant I.
274 275	Alignment	Bublic Sofoty/Emanuer Com	
275	Alignment	Public Safety/Emergency Conne Key Issue	ectivity Emergency Connectivity
210		City Policies or Council Direction	Emergency connectivity Guiding Principles state that
277		- 5 - I - Sourion Birostion	Limited ingress and egress via local connections may be provided for emergency, life safety situations. Develop an Emergency Service and Evacuation Plan to address emergencies and use of emergency access and connections.
		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	CU will provide limited ingress and egress connections for specific individuals/organizations/providers/units who are certified to provide services in emergency and life safety situations. Planning of these connections and identification of relevant parties will be determined later during property planning efforts.
278			The City can anticipate emergency connectivity to be similar to those of other CU Boulder properties within the city limits.

	Δ	R	
	Analysis Needed	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	Collaborate on a public safety facility.
		CU Statement	
		CO Statement	City staff proposes that the city and university jointly explore a public safety facility to collocate CU Boulder Police and City of Boulder Fire & Rescue personnel and vehicles. A joint facility could benefit both organizations greatly by achieving an extremely short response call time to
			future CU South residents and visitors and meet a city goal of relocating Fire Station #4.
			Please indicate if this concept is acceptable to the university. Should CU Boulder be amenable to this concept, city staff proposes a meeting between the appropriate staff to begin discussing this concept further.
			Fire & Rescue
			1. All buildings greater than 2000 sq. ft. must have a fire sprinkler system (new buildings)
			2. Fire Department access must, at a minimum meet the City of Boulder Design and Construction Standard for emergency access concerning road widths, turn-arounds, and turning radius
			3. Fire hydrants shall be spaced and installed in accordance with the City of Boulder Design and Construction Standard.
			4. The size of the site will require a second emergency access entrance/exit. This is something that needs to be considered with the master planning of the site.
			5. No emergency access can exceed 8% grade.
279			Various No. Francisco de LANGUA
			Key Issue #6 - From letter dated 3/28/19
			City staff proposes that the city and university jointly explore a public safety facility to collocate CU Boulder Police and City of Boulder Fire & Rescue personnel and vehicles. A joint facility could benefit both organizations greatly by achieving an extremely short response call time to
			future CU South residents and visitors and meet a city goal of relocating Fire Station #4. Please indicate if this concept is acceptable to the university. Should CU Boulder be amenable to this concept, city staff proposes a meeting between the appropriate staff to begin discussing this
			concept further.
280		CII Paspansa Januari 16 2000	
280		Co Response - January 16, 2020	CU Response: CU Boulder is open to exploring this concept
282	Alianment	Land Use Designation Changes	
283	Alignment		
203		Key Issue City Policies or Council Direction	Land use change process Guiding Principles state that
284		City i diides di Couricii Dilectioni	The Land Use Map may be amended to enable the city and CU to implement a shared vision for the site. The standard process detailed in the BVCP will quide any future land use designation changes.
285		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	The Land Ose wing may be a mended to eliable the city and CO to implement a state vision for the site. The standard process detailed in the BVCF win globe any future and use designation changes. Agreed, consistent with CU Boulder Response under Flood Mitigation: Section 3(C).
200	Yes	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	If necessary, city staff will coordinate the review of Land Use Map changes. Such changes, if made prior to annexation, require approval of the City of Boulder Planning Board and City Council, with a Call-up option for the Boulder County Board of County Commissioners. The land use change may be
		CU Statement	processed prior to public hearings on the annexation application.
		oo olalomoni	processed prior to pushe focus age of the dimensional approcase.
			To be eligible for a Land Use Map change, the proposed change:
			1. on balance, is consistent with the policies and overall intent of the comprehensive plan;
			2. would not have significant cross-jurisdictional impacts that may affect residents, properties or facilities outside the city;
			3. would not materially affect the land use and growth projections that were the basis of the comprehensive plan;
			4. does not materially affect the adequacy or availability of urban facilities and services to the immediate area or to the overall service area of the City of Boulder;
			5. would not materially affect the adopted Capital Improvements Program of the City of Boulder; and
286			6. would not affect the Area II/Area III boundaries in the comprehensive plan.
287			
288			
289	Alignment	Urban Services and Utilities	
290		Key Issue	Water and Wastewater Service Agreement
		City Policies or Council Direction	The Guiding Principles state that future agreements between the city and university will be contingent on the ability of the city to provide adequate urban facilities and services and the university's contribution to cover the cost of the necessary services and utilities on site and to address off-site impacts to
291		,	systems.
292		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	Consistent with CU Boulder's Main Campus, CU Boulder South shall be subject to the Water and Wastewater Service Agreement of January 1997 between the parties
		Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	Further analysis is needed to understand the extent to which the 1997 agreement is in alignment with current City water and wastewater service practices. A new agreement or amendments to the 1997 agreement may be needed to provide CU South development-specific service or connection details.
		CU Statement	Utilities
			1. A water system distribution analysis is needed prior to connection to the city's water distribution system, in order to assess the impacts and service demands of the proposed development. Conformance with the city's Treated Water Master Plan, October 2011 is necessary.
			2. A collection system analysis is needed prior to connection to the city's wastewater collection system, to determine any system impacts based on the proposed demands of the development. The analysis will need to show conformance with the city's Wastewater Collection System Master Plan, July 2016.
1			3. On-site and off-site water main and wastewater main construction per the City of Boulder Design and Construction Standards (DCS) as necessary to serve the development, as well as perpetuate the overall system, may be required. All proposed public utilities for this project shall be designed in
1			accordance with the DCS.
200			
293 294		CH B	
294 295		CO Response - January 16, 2020	CU Response: Consistent with CU Boulder's Main Campus, CU Boulder South shall be subject to the Water and Wastewater Service Agreement of January 1997 between the parties.
295		Key leave	Communitar Plant Investment Food
296		City Policies or Council Direction	Stormwater Plant Investment Fees
297		City Policies or Council Direction CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	
298	N/A		N/A At the time of development, CU will be responsible for paying all Plant Investment Fees applicable at that time.
300			JACINE time or o development, Cu will be responsible for paying all Plant Investment rees applicable at that time. CU Response: Agree in concept. Need more detail.
301		Co Response - January 10, 2020	Confesionse. Agree in Concept. Need more detain.
302		Key Issue	Stormwater requirements
302			Stormwater requirements [Guiding Principles state that
		City i diides di Couricii Dilectioni	Stormwater impacts of new development will be mitigated based on established criteria for minor and major storm events and applicable stormwater quality requirements. Preservation or restoration of existing undeveloped areas will be considered to attenuate peak runoff from the site and to mitigate
303			Stormwater quality impacts. Stormwater quality impacts.
304		CU Statement (Feb. 2, 2019)	Sionniwater quality impacts. CU Boulder will adhere to State stormwater regulations/requirements.
007		00 0.a.omont (1 00. 2, 2010)	De marian in anno la salla dialittimata l'aguinimata l'aguinimata dell'anno la compania

	A	В	С
	Analysis Needed	Staff Response to Feb. 2, 2019	Stormwater/Drainage
		CU Statement	Storm water quality enhancement and detention ponding are issues that need to be addressed prior to commencement of construction. A Storm Water Report and Plan, prepared in accordance with the City of Boulder Design and Construction Standards (DCS), needs to be provided by
			the applicant to the city. The report and plan need to also address the following issues:
			Water quality for surface runoff using "Best Management Practices"
			Minimize Directly Connected Impervious Areas (MDCIA)
			Detention ponding facilities
			Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)
			Storm sewer construction
			• Irrigation Ditches and Laterals
			Groundwater discharge
			Wetland mitigation
			• Erosion control during construction activities
			Discharge of groundwater to the public storm sewer system is anticipated to accommodate construction and operation of the proposed developments. City and/or State permits will be required for this discharge. The applicant is advised to contact the City of Boulder Storm Water Quality Office at 303-413-7350 regarding permit requirements. All applicable permits must be in place prior to building permit application. Additionally, special design considerations for the properties to handle groundwater discharge as part of the development may be necessary.
305			A construction storm water discharge permit is required from the State of Colorado for projects disturbing one (1) acre of land or more. The applicant is advised to contact the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment.
305 306		CU Response - January 16, 2020	CU Response: Further discussion is required. The university is not subject to submitting building permit application and works regularly and in good faith with the city on water discharge and meeting state regulations/permitting.
307			
308 309			Electric Service
			N/A
310			N/A
	Analysis Needed		If the property is to be served by a City of Boulder electric utility, space for an electric substation may be needed depending on the load to be served. City staff proposes that the annexation agreement include a provision requiring the city and university to determine a mutually suitable location for a substation
		CU Statement	at an appropriate time during the university's review process. The City also recommends that CU coordinates with Xcel Energy to determine what their infrastructure needs may be related to the development.
311		CH D	OU Description Armed
312		CU Response - January 16, 2020	UU Kespunse: Agreea.